

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,720	07/20/2006	Claude V. Maina	NEB-238-PUS	4742
28986 HARRIET M	7590 10/29/200 STRIMPEL, D. Phil.	EXAMINER		
New England	Biolabs, Inc.	GIBBS, TERRA C		
240 COUNTY IPSWICH, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
				ı
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2009	ELECTRONIC .

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

STRIMPEL@NEB.COM Goldberg@neb.com wermuth@neb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/586,720	MAINA ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	TERRA C. GIBBS	1635	

	TENTO: OIDDO	1000	ĺ						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection.								
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period act under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since</li> </ol>									
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi									
AMENDMENTS									
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);								
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,-						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).  7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [	will not be entered, or b) wil	I be entered and an e	xplanation of						
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ided below or appended.								
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: 1 and 5.									
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-30</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:									
/Terra Cotta Gibbs/	/Sean R McGarry/								
October 22, 2009	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1635							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection against claims I and 5 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is hereby withdrawn. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection against claims 1 and 5 as being unpatentable over Blaszczyk et al. in view of Sun et al. is hereby withdrawn.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1 and 5 would be objected to as containing nonelected subject matter, but would be allowable if rewritten to exclude the nonelected subject matter. Furthermore, daim 5 would be objected to because the claim is gramatically incorrect as the phrase, "at least 90% of the large dsRNA" is incomplete.

Claim 1 is considered to be free of the prior art since the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method comprising reacting a preparation of large double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) with an effective amount of a mutant RNAse III in a magnation or magnanese-containing buffer to produce a heterogeneous mixture of fragments in which at least 15% of the fragments have a size of 18-25 nucleotides, wherein the at least 15% of the fragments are not substantially degraded in the presence of the effective amount of the mutant RNAse III for at least 1 hour, the heterogeneous mixture comprising heterogeneous short interfering double-stranded RNA (hsiRNA) suitable for silencing gene expression, the mutant RNAse III comprising S38A in E. coli RNAse III.

Claim 5 is considered to be free of the prior art since the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method comprising forming a heterogeneous mixture of fragments by incubating a large double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) with a mutant RNase III for an effective time for cleaving, in the presence of magnesium ions or manganese ions, where cleavage can be detected by gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining, wherein at least 30% of the cleaved dsRNA has a fragment size of 18-30nt, the mutation in the RNase III comprising E38A in E. coil RNase III.